## 2014 IRWM Drought Grant Solicitation Application Evaluation Summary

Applicant	Nevada Irrigation District		
Number of Projects	6		
Proposal Level Score	15		
Average Project Level Score	13		
Tie-Breaker Points from Program Preferences Section (If Applicable)			
Grand Total	28		

Q#	Questions			
Pro	posal Level Evaluation	Attachment(s)	Points Available	Score Proposal Level
1	Does the Proposal clearly demonstrate the regional water management impact(s) due to the 2014 drought or any anticipated impacts if the drought or dry year conditions continue into 2015?	5	2	
2	Did the Project Proponent identify the mandatory or voluntary water conservation measures/restrictions that have been implemented due to the 2014 drought or any planned or anticipated actions if drought or dry year conditions continue into 2015?	2	5	5
3	Is there a map of the IRWM Region that shows the location of the project(s) included in the Proposal?	3	1	1
4	Does the Budget contain a summary budget for the Proposal?	5	1	1
5	Does the Schedule contain a summary schedule for the Proposal?	6	1	1
6	Collectively, do the Work Summary, Budget, and Schedule demonstrate that a majority of the projects will be ready to start construction/implementation by April 1, 2015?	4, 5, & 6	2	2
7	Enter up to 3 points for proposals that address the Human Right to Water	7	3	3
	Total for	18	15	

			Points	Score	Area	El Dorado County Water Agency, Regional Water Conservation Planning - Model Implementation and Education Programs	11 0	Grizzly Flat Community Services District, Grizzly Flat Drought Measures		Placer County Water Agency, Greeley Canal Drought Measures Optimization
		Attachment(s)	Available	Project Level	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6
	Is a brief description of the project included?	3	1	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
9	Is there a project map that shows the location of the project and the areas and water resources affected by the project?	3	1	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Does the applicant clearly explain how the proposed project will help alleviate the identified drought impacts?	3	2	12	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
11	Is each physical benefit annualized over the lifecycle of the project?	3	1	3	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
12	Are the anticipated primary and secondary physical benefits of the project described and quantified?	3	1	0	No	No	No	No	No	No
	Is the level of technical analysis reasonable considering the size of the project and the type of physical benefit claimed?	3	1	0	No	No	No	No	No	No
14	Does the technical analysis support the claimed physical benefits?	3	2	0	No	No	No	No	No	No
	Is the proposed project the least cost alternative? If not, does the applicant sufficiently explain why it was selected instead of the least cost alternative?	3	1	4	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	Does the applicant discuss the necessary tasks that will result in a completed project?	4	1	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
17	Do the tasks in the scope of work include appropriate deliverables (i.e., CEQA documents, plans and specifications, monitoring plans, progress reports, final report, etc.)?	4	1	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Does the Work Summary include a project status that indicates the current stage of each task (e.g., % complete)?	4	1	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
19	If applicable, does the Work Summary include a listing of required permits and their status, and the appropriate environmental documentation for the proposed project? $(N/A = Yes)$	4	1	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
20	Are the tasks shown in the Budget consistent with the tasks discussed in the Work Summary?	4 & 5	1	0	No	No	No	No	No	No
	Are the costs presented in the Budget reasonable for the project type and the current stage of the project?	5	1	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
,,,	Are the tasks in the schedule consistent with the tasks described in the Work Summary?	4 & 6	1	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Does the schedule demonstrate that it is reasonable to expect that the project will start construction/implementation by April 1, 2015?	4 &6	1	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Does the application describe the steps necessary to ensure that the proposed schedule can be met?	6	1	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Total Project Level Score	for all projects	19	79	13	12	14	13	14	13